PATENT H16-25990 US

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

PROCESS VARIABLE GAUGE INTERFACE AND METHODS REGARDING SAME

	cation of which			
(check one)	is attached hereto X was filed on JUI Application Serial No. 9 and was amended on	Y 1, 1999	as:	i
	nereby state that I have note that I have not that I have note that I have not that I have			pove-identified specification,
	acknowledge the duty in accordance with Title			to the examination of this
application	(s) for patent or inventor for patent or inventor's	or's certificate listed	below and have also ic	Code §119 of any foreign dentified below any foreign of the application on which
Prior Forei	gn Application(s)			PriorityClaimed
(Number	(Coun	atry)	(Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes No
(Number I I listed below prior Unite §112, I ac Regulations	nereby claim the benefit user and, insofar as the subjut of States application in the knowledge the duty to	ander Title 35, United ject matter of each of ne manner provided to disclose material in d between the filing	States Code §120 of any the claims of this applic by the first paragraph of formation as defined in	Yes No United States application(s) ration is not disclosed in the Fitle 35, United States Code Title 37, Code of Federal ion and the national or PCT
(Number I I listed below prior Unite §112, I ac Regulation internation	nereby claim the benefit used and, insofar as the subject of the s	ander Title 35, United ject matter of each of ne manner provided to disclose material in d between the filing	States Code §120 of any the claims of this applic by the first paragraph of formation as defined in	United States application(s) ation is not disclosed in the Fitle 35, United States Code Title 37, Code of Federal

Address all correspondence to IAN D. MACKINNON, Honeywell Inc., Honeywell Plaza, P.O. Box 524, Office

of General Counsel, MN12-8251, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440-0524.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole		
Or First Inventor, If Any	GREGORY A. JAMIESON	
Inventor's Signature	Date July 199	<u> </u>
Residence	Fridley Minnesota (Anoka County)	
Citizenship	USA	
Post Office Address	5660 E. River Road #209	
	Fridley, Minnesota 55432	
Full Name of Second		
Joint Inventor, If Any	3 STEPHANIE A.E. GUERLAIN	_
Inventor's Signature	Tephane A. E. querlan Date July 23, 199	<u> 9</u>
Residence	White Bear Lake, Mirror sota (Washington County)	
Citizenship	USA	
Post Office Address	12133 Everton Avenue North	
	White Bear Lake, Minnesota 55110	
Full Name of Third		
Joint Inventor, If Any	PETER T. BULLEMER	
-		-00
Inventor's Signature	Date 7/23, 19	<u> </u>
Residence	Golden Valley, Minnesota (Hennepin County)	
Citizenship	USA	
Post Office Address	1298 Yukon Ct.	
	Golden Valley, Minnesota 55427	

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56:

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.